

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/700,674	GREY, NICHOLAS GERALD	
	Examiner Terrence R. Till	Art Unit 1744	

**All Participants:**

(1) Terrence R. Till.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) L. Carter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 252122/88 to Sanyo electric

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner did not have a complete copy of the above mentioned reference and asked applicant's representative to forward a complete copy. Applicant's representative did so by e-mail. Additionally, The examiner inserted a priority claim to 10/450,001 in the first line of the specification..